

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/767,754	01/22/2001	Paul O'Brien		2713
75	90 02/24/2004	EXAMINER		
OPPENHEIMER WOLFF & DONNELLY LLP			NGUYEN, CUONG H	
ATTENTION: Suite 3800	LOUIS J. BOVASSO	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2029 Century Park East			3625	
Los Angeles, C	CA 90067	DATE MAILED: 02/24/200	4	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE U.S. Patent and Pademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO./ CONTROL NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR / PATENT IN REEXAMINATION	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.

EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER

3

DATE MAILED:

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner for Patents

CUONG H. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3625

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	09/767,754	O'BRIEN, PAUL					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
,	CUONG H. NGUYEN	3625					
Th MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	app ars on th cover sheet wit	th the correspond nce address					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by si Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re t. reply within the statutory minimum of thirty riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tatute, cause the application to become AB.	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 April 2001</u> .						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ 2	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 January 2001 is, Applicant may not request that any objection to Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ ol the drawing(s) be held in abeyan rrection is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for force a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. nents have been received in A priority documents have been ireau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage					
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	ummary (PTO-413)					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date					
Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE Paper No(s)/Mail Date	3/08) 5) Notice of Ir 6) Other:	formal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This Office Action is the answer to the communication received on 4/27/2001 (the IDS).

Status of the Claims

2. Claims **1-5** are pending in this application.

Drawings

3. This application has been filed with 11 formal drawings (5 sheets) which currently are acceptable for examining purposes.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office Action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1- 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al. (PUB-NO: JP410097558 A), in view of Vinciarelli et al., (US Pat. 6,110,213), and further in view of O'Brien (US Pat. 4,671,417).
- A. As to claim 1: Kobayashi and Vinciarelli et al. teach that various image patterns and their relative data are sent to a computer via the Internet, image dimensions, materials are combined to generate a design image for a fixture arrangement, together with relative data suggested to a modification of that picture if necessary (see Vinciarelli et al. claims 14, 23, 43-45). Therefore, they teach an Internet interactive environment for ordering.

Kobayashi et al., and Vinciarelli et al., do not disclose that product is a specific "unit packages the number and length of display hooks for hanging the selected unit packages from said hooks on a slotted panel coupled to a freestanding rack".

However, O'Brien clearly teaches that product can be "unit packages the number and length of display hooks for hanging the selected unit packages from said hooks on a slotted panel coupled to a freestanding rack". He obviously teaches a computer having a monitor connected to a pre-selected web site over the internet displaying on the monitor a plurality of differing unit packages, each of said unit packages being three-dimensional and having a pair of spaced sides and an opening for receiving a display hook therein, and at least a height, a width, and a depth, said opening being spaced from the sides of said unit packages; the user selecting one of said unit packages; the computer presenting a dimensions box on said monitor in response to the unit package selected by said user; the user inputting dimensions related to said height, width, depth, and spacing Of said opening on said pre-selected unit package; the computer presenting a display of a slotted display panel mounted on a rack along with-a listing of the number of display hooks, and length thereof, that may be mounted to said panel dependent on the depth desired of the selected unit packages on each of said hooks; the user inputting a selection of a particular length of display hook desired and the quantity thereof; and the computer generating the bill of materials required for the number and length of hooks selected.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time. The invention was made to combine Kobayashi et al. with O'Brien to suggest that O'Brien's product can be selected to order in the Internet as customized three-dimension design image structure from Kobayashi et al.'s invention because these dimensions are necessary for each customized ordering on the Internet; moreover, these inventors are in the same field of endeavor, artisans would recognize that O'Brien customized device would be an exemplary situation that implementing Internet ordering method of selecting and ordering products suggested by Vinciarelli et al.

B. As to claim 2: The rationales and references for rejection of claim 1 are incorporated.

O'Brien teaches a method of claim 1 wherein a package has a hanging tab with an opening.

Kobayashi et al. teach a step of inputting dimensions for a specific configuration of a structure device for architecture and living relative fixture layout design and the relative data using the Internet. Kobayashi et al. do not disclose that those inputting the dimensions relating to the position of said tab on said unit package.

However, the examiner submits that it is old and well-known to specify varied dimensions/sizes varied according to different products that order through the Internet.

'It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kobayashi et al., and Vinciarelli et al., with O'Brien to suggest that O'Brien's product can be selected to order in the Internet as customized three-dimension design image structure from Kobayashi et al.'s invention because these dimensions are necessary for each customized ordering on the Internet; moreover, these inventors are in the same field of endeavor, artisans would recognize that O'Brien customized device would be an exemplary situation that implementing Internet ordering method of selecting and ordering products suggested by Kobayashi et al.

C. As to claim 3: The rationales and references for rejection of claim 1 are incorporated.

O'Brien teaches a method of claim 1 wherein at least one of said unit packages has a hanging tab with an opening therein.

O'Brian does not disclose about a step of inputting fixed dimensions relative to a position of a slot on Internet.

However, the examiner submits those dimensions would be varied according to a product that order through the Internet (see O'Brian, claims 1, 6, 9).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kobayashi et al., Vinciarelli et al., with O'Brien to suggest that "inputting dimensions relative to a position of a slot on a package." O'Brien's product would be ordered in the Internet as designed fixtures from Vinciarelli et al.'s invention because these dimensions are

necessary for a specific ordering on the Internet; moreover, these inventors are in the same field of endeavor, artisan would recognize a step of inputting different dimensions to give a user's flexibility.

D. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al., in view of Vinciarelli et al., (US Pat. 6,110,213), and further in view of O'Brien (US Pat. 4,671,417),

The rationales and references for rejection of claim 1 are incorporated.

O'Brien teaches a method of claim 1 wherein a unit packages has a hanging tab with an opening.

O'Brian does not teach a step of generating the bill of materials includes a step of generating dimensions and type of material of a product.

However, Vinciarelli et al. teach about a step of generating a bill of materials. According to them, a product's dimensions are customized information according to each specific product that order through the Internet, and they have been often recorded in a bill of materials (see Vinciarelli et al., claims 14, 23, 43, 44, 45).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kobayashi et al., Vinciarelli et al., and O'Brien to suggest that O'Brien's product would be selected to order in the Internet as customized structures from Vinciarelli 's teaching because those inventors are in the same field of endeavor, product's dimensions are necessary put in a bill of material for a specific ordering on the Internet.

E. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kobayashi et al., in view of Vinciarelli et al., (US Pat. 6,110,213), in view of O'Brien (US Pat. 4,671,417), and further in view of Fruechtel (US Pat. 6,175,825).

The rationales and references for rejection of claim 1 are incorporated.

Kobayashi et al., Vinciarelli et al., and O'Brien teaches a method of claim 1 wherein at least one of said unit packages has a hanging tab with an opening therein.

Vinciarelli et al., and O'Brien do not disclose that a computer computes and displays the cost of materials along with shipping information".

However, Fruechtel teaches what Vinciarelli et al., not disclose in ordering through the Internet (see **Fruechtel**, the abstract, and claim 1 – note: it is also old and well-known to compute/display materials, and shipping costs).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine Kobayashi et al., Vinciarelli et al., O'Brien, and Fruechtel to teach computing materials, and displaying cost of said materials along with information relating to the shipping of said materials, because those computations and shipment info. have been known as required steps to finish up a transaction wherein details are listed to help both a vendee and a vendor in checking out an order.

Conclusion

5. Claims **1-5** are not patentable.

S.N. 09/767,754 Art Unit 3625

6. The prior art are pertinent to claimed subject matter of this pending

application:

- Vinciarelli et al., US Pat. 6110213, Fabrication rules based automated design

and manufacturing system and method.

Vinciarelli et al. (US Pat. 6,230,403 B1), Interconnection system.

- Fraser et al., US 6105520 A, Quilt making automatic scheduling system and

method.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications

from the examiner should be directed to CUONG H. NGUYEN whose number is

703-305-4553. The examiner can normally be reached on 7am-330 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the

examiner's supervisor, JEFFREY A. SMITH can be reached on 703-308-3588.

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding

is assigned is 703-305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application

or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is

703-308-1113.

Cuonshnsuyen

CUONG H. NGUYEN Primary Examiner Art Unit 3625